Legislative Fiscal Analyst:	Agency Respor	nse Form	Version 2009 2.1	
Estimated Fiscal In	pact of Bill #	HB 330	Date 2/9/2009	
Short Title Utah So	hool Seismic Hazard In	ventory		
Contact Jenefer Youngfield			ion Inspection Specialist	
Agency State Office of Education	on	Phone 538-7669		
Short Form				
Use only when there is no appropriation needed for state agencies, and no fiscal impact on state revenues, local governments, businesses, or individuals. If the bill looks like it should have a fiscal note, explain why it does State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the businesses appropriation to implement the businesses. There is no fiscal impact on businesses There is no fiscal impact on individuals. The bill will not affect revenues. Explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.			nplement the bill.	
not. For example, a bill might put into code something that is already current practice. Attachments welcome.				
A TX714 C 41 1 :11 -	(°1 °4)			
A. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact? Cite specific sections or line numbers. 53A-13-1201 (1) thru (5) reimbursements to school districts and charter schools for "Rapid Visual Screening of Buildings for Potential Seismic Hazards." 53A-13-1201 (4) (a) and (b) per diem expenses for Public School Seismic Safety Committee members who may				
B. Which program gets the appropriation?		2400	(Approp. Unit Code)	
#N/A	Line Item Approp. Unit	#N/A #N/A		
(To appropriate to an additional program use an additional form.) This is of				
C. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?				
Assume that a legislator calls you in to explain how you came up with your fiscal impact and these are the only notes you get to take with you.	Estimated costs for "Rapid V Hazards" (see Tab "HB 330 Engineering (Perry - License Engineering has performed the state thus far, and would	Proposed Costs") obtained ed Professional Engineer) the majority of seismic su	d through Reavely on 02/09/09. Reavely rveys for school districts in	

List all costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

Estimated costs for "Rapid Visual Screening of Buildings for Potential Seismic Hazards" (see Tab "HB 330 Proposed Costs") obtained through Reavely Engineering (Perry - Licensed Professional Engineer) on 02/09/09. Reavely Engineering has performed the majority of seismic surveys for school districts in the state thus far, and would therefore have a better sense of involvement of performing screening. Costs averaged in these ranges: Elementary Schools & Miscellaneous Educations Facilities \$1,500 - \$2,000; Junior High Schools & District Administrative Buildings \$2,500-3,000; High Schools \$5,000-6000. Estimated Total Based on Averages \$1,763,825; only \$500,000 one-time funding is proposed in this bill. Recommendation made by Reavely to consider using the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) form ASCE 31 which is more comprehensive, and although more expensive, considers all portions of the structure, not just those specific to the "Rapid Visual Screening" designation. Unknown encumbrances and cost totals: Cost per diem for Public School Seismic Safety Committee members who may not receive compensation or benefits for the member's service. Cost and impact to each school district, school and charter school FTE is unknown in relationship to the time involved to obtain screening, obtaining information and report occupancy during normal hours; square footages of facilities; process paperwork for reimbursement; ensure access; and proper supervision of screening evaluators to facilities.

Fiscal Impact Tables

Current Budget Year FY 2009 Coming Budget Year FY 2010 Future Budget Year FY 2011

D. If this is a revenue bill, show impacts here. (Select funds from drop-down menu.)

General Fund - One-Time 500,000

Total \$0 \$500,000 \$0

E. Show Costs to Implement the Bill by Fund (Select funds from drop-down menu.)

L. Onow Costs to Implement the Bir by I	and (Select failes from are	,	+ -
		\$0	\$0
C IF I O T		5 00 000	
General Fund - One-Time		500,000	
Total	<u> </u>	\$500,000	\$0
	Ψ0	4500,000	40

F. Show Costs to Implement the Bill by Expense Category.

1	3 1 8 3		
Personal Services			
Travel			
Current Expense		500,000	
DP Current Expense			
DP Capital Outlay			
Capital Outlay			
Other/Pass Thru			
['] Total	\$0	\$500,000	\$0

G. How will the bill impact local governments?

Your estimate of the bill's impact on local governments.

Attachments welcome.

This bill would require school districts & charter schools to: obtain screening, report occupancy during normal hours & square footages of facilities, process paperwork for reimbursement, provide access by evaluators to facilities. Would establish a Public School Seismic Safety Committee, supporting admin. rule & threshold score for facilities. May increase a sense of security or anxiety to personnel, students, or patrons, depending on ratings of facilities.

H. How will the bill impact businesses?

Your estimate of the bill's impact on businesses.

Attachments welcome.

The bill will not impact local business fiscally. There may be increased desire for individuals to have their children attend facilities found to be more seismically sound than others, which could inadvertently help businesses surrounding facilities perceived as being more structurally sound.

I. How will the bill impact individuals?

Your estimate of the bill's impact on individuals.

Attachments welcome.

School districts & charter schools would be impacted both fiscally & in added labor, as they may be required to match monies as a condition of funding any additional evaluations; additional employee time expended in obtaining the screening through properly licensed, supervised & skilled professional structural engineers and/or professional civil engineers, as well as requesting reimbursement from USOE & providing access as needed for individuals performing screenings & gathering data.

This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future.

HB 330 School Seismic Safety Hazard Inventory - Proposed Costs 02-09-09

On or before June 30, 2011, each school district and charter school shall: (a) conduct a seismic safety rapid visual screening of each facility utilized by the school district or charter school, in accordance with federal guidelines, which would be based on; guidelines and procedures specified in "Rapid Visual Screening of Buildings for Potential Seismic Hazards: A Handbook, 2nd Edition" published by the United States Federal Emergency Management Agency. The estimated cost to perform the screen as listed above would be as follows:

Tours of Cohe al District on Chanton Cohe al Facility	0	Cost Per	Total Cost Per
Type of School District or Charter School Facility	Quantity	Facility	Type of Facility
Elementary School Facilities	514	\$1,750.00	\$899,500.00
Junior High School Facilities	147	\$2,500.00	\$367,500.00
High School Facilities	113	\$6,000.00	\$678,000.00
Charter School Facilities	66	\$2,000.00	\$132,000.00
Miscellaneous Educational Facilities such as; special education, alternative, vocational, etc.	193	\$1,750.00	\$337,750.00
Administrative/District Office Facilities	42	\$2,500.00	\$105,000.00
Sub Total - (Estimated cost to perform "Rapid Visual Screening of Buil	\$2,519,750.00		
Estimated Reduction - based on the estimated number of schools already -3			-\$755,925.00
Estimated Total Cost - to perform "Rapid Visual Screening of Buil	\$1,763,825.00		